

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/362,693	0	7/29/1999	RANDELL L. MILLS	62-226-9A	7170
20736	7590	09/06/2002		·.	
MANELLI DENISON & SELTER				EXAMINER	
	EET NW SUITE 700 ON, DC 20036-3307			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
			. ~	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1754	22
				- DATE MAILED: 09/06/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicant(s)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s) M / //s			
Office Action Summary	362693 Examiner	Group Art Unit			
	Land	1 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet be	neath the correspondence address—			
Period for Reply	ク				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by de</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	a reply within the statutory min fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro statute, cause the application to	imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on	9-82	·			
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>					
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1</li> </ul>					
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) [62-205]		is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)	Claim(s)				
		is ale williami itotti colisidelationi.			
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed. is/are rejected.			
		is/are allowed. is/are rejected.			
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.  is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election			
□ Claim(s)	· · ·	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement			
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement			
□ Claim(s)       □ 2 - 2 0 5         □ Claim(s)       □ Claim(s)         □ Claim(s)       □ Claim(s)         □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on       □ The drawing(s) filed on         □ The drawing(s) filed on       □ is/are ob	is □ approved	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement			
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement			
□ Claim(s)       □ 2 - 2 0 5         □ Claim(s)       □ Claim(s)         □ Claim(s)       □ Claim(s)         □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on       □ The drawing(s) filed on         □ The drawing(s) filed on       □ is/are ob	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement			
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement			
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner	<ul> <li>is/are allowed.</li> <li>is/are rejected.</li> <li>is/are objected to.</li> <li>are subject to restriction or election requirement</li> <li>disapproved.</li> </ul>			
□ Claim(s)	is □ approved jected to by the Examiner . ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement  disapproved.			
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) an received.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.			
□ Claim(s)	is approved jected to by the Examiner ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) in received.	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.			
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) in received. In received in Application Nents have been received	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.			
□ Claim(s)	is approved piected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) an received. In received in Application Nents have been received anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.  (d).			
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received: □	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) in received. In received in Application Nents have been received anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.  (d).			
□ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ is/are ob □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Certified copies of the priority documents have bee □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation*Certified copies not received: □	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) in received. In received in Application Nents have been received anal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.  (d).			
□ Claim(s)	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) in received. In received in Application Nents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2) in the constant of the constan	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.  (d).			
□ Claim(s)	is approved jected to by the Examiner by under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) in received. In received in Application Nents have been received onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2) in the constant of the constan	is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement disapproved.  (d).			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. 22

Serial No. 09/362,693

Art Unit 1754

## 35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefore, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 102-205 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the disclosed invention is inoperative and therefore lacks utility. See the reasons given in the last two Office actions.

Claims 102-205 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. See the reasons given in the last two Office actions.

The new extensive experimental evidence submitted by applicant has been considered, but is not convincing of error in the rejections. Such evidence is cumulative to that already of record, and the calorimetric, spectroscopic and plasma experiment data has been adequately addressed in sections 19-25 in the ATTACHMENT TO RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS which was a part of Paper No. 10. See particularly section 24 of such attachment.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (703) 308-0248. The examiner

Serial No. 09/362,693

Art Unit 1754

can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

WAL:cdc

September 4, 2002

Wayne a LANGEL WAYNE A LANGEL PRIMARY EXAMINER